



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,366	03/08/2004	David Freker	42P18653	2247
8791	7590 06/07/2006		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			DU, THUAN N	
	SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGEL			2116	
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/796,366	FREKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Thuan N. Du	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri df r Reply	ears n the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ma	arch 2004.					
· <u> </u>	·-					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disp sition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R view (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R View (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-22 are presented for examination.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "An memory controller" should be -- A memory controller --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission of prior art [AAPA] in view of Johnson (U.S. Patent No. 5,661,751).
- 5. Regarding claims 1, 11-13, 15, 17-22, AAPA teaches a memory controller comprising a memory enable deassertion logic for deasserting a memory enable signal to the memory [application's specification, p. 1, ll. 6 of par. 0003], the memory enable signal is used for reading and writing operation [application's specification, par. 0002]. AAPA does not teach that the deassertion can be delayed for a period of time after completion of a memory operation.

Johnson teaches a power management system comprising a clock control unit (102) for asserting and deasserting a clock enable signal (138) [Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 5-30], wherein the

deassertion of the clock enable signal can be delayed by a period of time after completion of an operation (activity detection) [col. 5, lines 46-58], the delay period chosen for a preferred latency versus power savings tradeoff [col. 5, lines 26-30].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of AAPA so that the deassertion of the clock enable signal can be delayed by a period of time after completion of an operation as taught by Johnson because it would allow the system to maintain the clock to the memory when desired.

- 6. Regarding claims 2, 16, AAPA teaches that the memory comprises double data rate (DDR) dynamic random access memory (DRAM) [application's specification, par. 0002].
- 7. Regarding claim 3, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that each setting could be different from the other.
- 8. Regarding claims 4-6, 14, Johnson teaches that the system including a counter [Fig. 2].
- 9. Regarding claims 7-10, since they recite method of operating of the apparatus defined in the apparatus claims, they are rejected accordingly based on the rejection of the apparatus claims.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (571) 272-3673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670.

Central TC telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,366

Art Unit: 2116

The fax number for the organization is (571) 273-8300.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Page 4

Thuan Du

June 2, 2006